

REMARKS

An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was mailed on October 27, 2006.

The interview was initiated by the Examiner. Therefore, no further recordation by the Applicant is believed to be required.

Applicant's representative notes that the Examiner appears to have an incorrect date on the Interview Summary. The Examiner did call Applicant's representative on October 2, 2006, but that call was to request the status of the Supplemental Amendment.

In order for the record to reflect an accurate account of the events that transpired, Applicant's representative presents the following:

On September 12, 2006, the Examiner called Applicant's representative and indicated that claims 1-3 are in condition for allowance, and suggested that Applicant file a supplemental amendment to provide a new claim set based on the features of claims 1-3.

On September 15, 2006, Applicant's representative called the Examiner for clarification of the allowable features of claims 1-3.

On September 29, 2006, Applicant e-filed a Supplemental Amendment in the case.

On October 2, 2006, the Examiner called Applicant's representative and requested a status of the Supplemental Amendment. Applicant's representative indicated that the Supplemental Amendment was e-filed on September 29, 2006.

On October 18, 2006, the Examiner called Applicant's representative and indicated that the Supplemental Amendment had not cancelled the non-allowed claims. The Examiner also indicated that in addition to claims 1-3, claims 5-7, 23, 25-26, 57 and 61 were allowable based on the amendments presented in the Supplemental Amendment. The Examiner requested that

Statement of Substance of Interview
U.S. Appln. No. 09/840,269

Q64131

Applicant's representative permit the Examiner to cancel the non-allowed claims, i.e. claims 8-22, 27-56, and 58-60. Applicant's representative informed the Examiner that Applicant's representative would seek permission for such cancellation of claims from Applicant.

On October 20, 2006, Applicant's representative called the Examiner and informed him that Applicant agreed to cancel non-allowed claims 8-22, 27-56, and 58-60.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,



Kevin C. Kunzendorf
Registration No. 58,308

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: November 20, 2006